



**ERANID**

**"Society and responses  
to drug use"**

***Call for Proposals***



## **ERANID - ERA-NET on Illicit Drugs**

### **CALL FOR PROPOSALS**

#### **Society and Responses to drug use**

**SUBMISSION DEADLINE: - 18<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2016 12.00 (CET)**

---

Link to electronic proposal [submission portal](#)

**ERANID JOINT CALL SECRETARIAT (JCS)**

[eranid@sicad.min-saude.pt](mailto:eranid@sicad.min-saude.pt)

## INTRODUCTION

The EU Drugs Strategy 2005-2012 underlined the importance of improving the EU knowledge infrastructure in the field of drugs, and the EU Action Plan on Drugs 2009-2012 contained an objective to “expand the knowledge base in the field of drugs by promoting research”. The European Commission’s 2009 paper, *Strengthening EU capacity on illicit drugs* (Commission of the European Communities, 2009) found that there were large differences in the size and quality of drugs-related research capacities between countries, concluding that “...overall coordination between policy, research and practice in the field of illicit drugs is piecemeal and lacks a coherent longer term approach for the identification and funding of new areas of research.”

The ERANID project responds to the European Commission’s 2012 call to set up an ERA-NET in illicit drugs under the socio-economic sciences and humanities programme. A consortium of eleven organisations from six EU countries (Belgium, France, Italy, the Netherlands, Portugal and the United Kingdom), ERANID’s overall aim is “to enhance EU research capability and capacity in drug research by improving coordination, cooperation and synergies between national and regional funding programmes”.

For the next stage of the project, ERANID has been supporting partner countries and affiliate partners to find common areas of interest from the ERANID Strategic Research Agenda and to launch two joint, transnational research calls.

### 1<sup>st</sup> JOINT TRANSNATIONAL CALL

Under the umbrella of the topic ***Understanding transitions in pathways of drug use***, this 1<sup>st</sup> Joint Transnational Call (JTC) was launched in September 2015, with funding from the following partner organisations: Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Sweden, The Netherlands and The United Kingdom.

### 2<sup>ND</sup> JOINT TRANSNATIONAL CALL

#### Objective of the Call

The objective of this 2<sup>nd</sup> call is to support transnational and multidisciplinary research in ***Society and responses to drug use*** and will be launched with funding from the following partner organisations:

- **Belgium: Federal Public Planning Service Science Policy (BELSPO)**
- **Belgium: Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Vlaanderen (FWO)**

- **France** : MILDECA, Mission interministérielle de lutte contre les drogues et les conduits addictives
- **Italy**: Dipartimento per la Politiche antidroga, Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri
- **Portugal**: Serviço de Intervenção nos Comportamentos Aditivos e nas Dependências (SICAD)
- **The Netherlands**: The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw)
- **The United Kingdom, England**: The Department of Health (DH)
- **The United Kingdom, Scotland**: The Scottish Government (SG)

## Background

The content of this call reflects the findings of a large programme of work to identify common research priorities for illicit drugs in Europe. A series of consultations with a wide range of stakeholders - within the 6 ERANID member-states and at international level - provided the basis for ERANID's Strategic Research Agenda (SRA) published in 2015. The development of the SRA also considered existing research and this call aims to build upon the large body of existing research and the identified SRA priorities to fund innovative research that has the potential to provide lasting knowledge on illicit drugs. ERANID focuses on socio-economic sciences and humanities (SSH) and encourages an interdisciplinary approach to research in this area. Important contributions from other disciplines, when in combination with a core SSH approach in the proposals, are welcome. The topics chosen for this second call touch on several areas of the SRA and potential applicants are invited to read this document to seek additional ideas to build research proposals.

## Context

This second and last transnational call for proposals in the frame of the ERANID project is dedicated to ***society and responses to drug use***. This topic examines some of the areas of the SRA not yet covered and builds on national priorities of the participating countries. It follows and complements the focus of the first joint call on ***understanding drug use pathways***.

We wish in particular to fund research that explores the:

- 1) Impact of drug policies on society
- 2) Importance of the social environment in pathways to recovery and socioeconomic reintegration.

The budget of this call will - indicatively - be equally divided between the two topics.

For both topics, researchers will exploit the transnational aspect of this call (e.g. via comparative analysis) and its European coverage (e.g. through methodological advancements, the development of common metrics...).

Researchers will also give due considerations in their proposals to the following cross-cutting issues:

- the gender dimension in social responses;
- the inclusion, where relevant, and in combination with illicit drugs, of a consideration for a wider range of drugs (e.g. prescription drugs, new synthetic drugs, performance and image enhancing drugs...);
- the attitudes and perceptions as they influence the way the topics of this call are formulated and addressed by society;
- the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of policies and interventions;
- the SSH-perspective at the core of the range of disciplines mobilised in projects, notwithstanding the secondary input from other disciplines;

It is expected that proposals requesting funding between €750,000 and €850,000 would allow the topics of this call to be addressed appropriately. This does not preclude submission and selection of proposals requesting other amounts with adequate justification.

## **Scope**

Researchers are invited to submit proposals on the following topics:

### **1) Policy and society**

The central question is how differences in national drug policies impact on community and society.

We are particularly interested in understanding how countries' specific way of balancing health, criminal justice and other responses produce different outcomes for societies. This question can be addressed at the level of the global drug strategy or parts of it (e.g. policies relating to specific responses, to a specific target population, to a specific drug...). The comparative views offered here could provide a useful evidence base for comparing and reappraising existing choices.

A variety of outcomes can be examined: researchers could specifically look at how policies influence drug use or how they shape attitudes and perceptions within the general population and groups of users (and inversely, how perceptions and attitudes shape public responses), how they impact on health inequalities and social inclusion, how drug policy reacts to a range of substances (including NPS)...

The concept *social impact of policy* could itself be clarified from a methodological point of view and researchers could provide valuable input in defining common evaluation metrics on the societal impact and (cost) effectiveness of policies.

The digitising world provides an interesting angle of approach: not only does it challenge traditional law enforcement approaches – resulting in a variety of public responses whose effects are not yet fully understood – but it also offers new possibilities in other areas of policy, such as the provision of information and advice, intervention settings (e-health)... Researchers could study the impact of the digitising world on drug policies by examining existing constraints and responses, evaluating the effectiveness of various approaches, formulating new possibilities for public authorities to seize opportunities...

## **2) The social environment, pathways to recovery and reintegration**

Recovery and socio economic reintegration are complex processes. There is a growing recognition that social aspects play an important role in long term stabilisation, abstinence of drug use and desistance from associated criminal behaviours.

This second topic invites researchers to examine how the integration of socio-environmental aspects in therapeutic processes can improve recovery outcomes and reintegration. Integrated approaches, which include – but are not limited to – consideration of the social capital of users and the importance of work and housing, seem to play a crucial role in pathways to recovery and are important areas for research. The role of self-regulation, of peer-related interventions, the family and community and informal care... are relevant topics and the way that public responses use these to improve recovery outcomes should also be examined.

In the variety of approaches that co-exist in Europe, we would welcome comparative views that help understand how different cultures, national environments and countries' specific policy settings can shape the inclusion of social aspects in recovery.

We would also welcome conceptual work on measurements of recovery and wellbeing and the relative weight of medical, individual and social factors.

### **Expected output**

In addition to classical forms of academic valorisation (such as scientific publications), it is expected that applicants seriously consider the principles of Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) in developing their proposals: Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) implies that societal actors (researchers, citizens, policy makers, business, third sector organisations, etc.) work together during the whole research and innovation process in order to better align both the process and its outcomes with the values, needs

and expectations of society<sup>1</sup>. In particular, applicants will integrate the following aspects in their projects:

- Engage with societal actors during and after the research process and develop outreach and dissemination activities at the end of the project to ensure the widest transfer of the produced knowledge;
- Address the gender dimension by fostering gender balance in research teams, and integrating the gender dimension in research content to improve quality and societal relevance of expected results;
- Tackle ethical issues - especially when dealing with vulnerable groups - to ensure quality and integrity of the research (e.g. by adopting existing codes of ethical conduct in research...);
- Engage in Open Access.

## APPLICATION

### ELIGIBILITY

Joint research proposals may be submitted with respect for the following criteria:

- Only transnational projects will be funded. Each proposal must involve a minimum of three eligible applicants, although preference will be given to four or more from at least three different countries participating in the call. The number of participants and their research contribution should be appropriate for the aims of the transnational research project. Each transnational collaborative project should represent the critical mass to achieve ambitious scientific goals and should clearly demonstrate an added value from working together;
- Depending on national criteria (see annex 2), eligible partners may include public and private scientific, research, technological and innovation institutions; universities; research active industry; NGOs; private companies; public institutions and other stakeholders ;
- Participants not eligible to be funded (e.g. from non-funding countries or not fundable according to national regulations of the participating funding countries) may participate in a project proposal if they are able to secure their own funding. Such participants should state in advance the source of funding for their part in the project;
- However, the majority of participant groups in a consortium and the Principal Investigator must be eligible to be funded by participating countries, according to the national regulations;

---

<sup>1</sup> More information on RRI can be obtained on:

<https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/en/h2020-section/responsible-research-innovation>

- Each consortium must nominate a Principal Investigator (PI) among the project's co-Principal Investigators (co-PI). The PI must be considered an eligible project applicant by one of the funding organisations participating in the call. The PI will represent the consortium externally and towards the Joint Call Secretariat (JCS) and Funding Group<sup>2</sup> (FG), and will be responsible for its internal scientific management (such as controlling, reporting, intellectual property rights (IPR) issues). Each co-PI will be represented by one (and only one) group leader. Each PI will also be the contact person for the relevant national funding organisation.
- Each applicant can submit up to two research proposals as co-PI or only one as PI (e.g. the PI of a proposal cannot be partner in another proposal). Please note that this rule is subject to national regulations, therefore applicants are strongly encouraged to contact their national contact points to check their national eligibility rules before submission.
- Whilst proposals will be submitted jointly by research groups from several countries, individual research groups will be funded by their national funding organisation(s). The applications are therefore subject to eligibility criteria of relevant national funding organisations of the respective country. It is highly recommended that applicants refer to the funding rules and eligibility criteria of the relevant funding organisation. Applicants are strongly advised to contact their relevant funding organisation contact person before submitting an application; please note that for some countries it might be mandatory. Please note that if an applicant is found to be non-eligible by one of the funding organisations after the eligibility check, the entire proposal will be rejected without further review.
- The duration of the projects can be up to three years. Nevertheless, a partner can receive funding for less than three years according to funding organisations eligibility criteria and regulations.

## FINANCIAL AND LEGAL MODALITIES

**Eligible costs and funding provisions may vary according to the respective funding organisation's regulations.** Each applicant is subject to the rules and regulations of their respective national funding organisation (see annex 2).

## SUBMISSION OF JOINT PROPOSALS

Joint proposals (in English), must be submitted to the online submission website no later than **18<sup>th</sup> October 2016 12.00 CET**. Proposals will not be accepted after this time.

---

<sup>2</sup> Funding Group: funding organisations' representatives.

Information on how to submit proposals electronically is available in "Guidelines for applicants".

For applicants from some countries it might be mandatory to submit the proposal and/or other information, in some cases before the deadline of this call, directly to the national funding organisations. Therefore, applicants are strongly advised to check their funding organisations specific "Guidelines for applicants" for more details.

### **FURTHER INFORMATION**

If you need additional information, please contact the JCS, or your national funding organisation Contact Person.

### **EVALUATION**

The evaluation of the Joint Transnational Project proposals will be organised as follows:

#### **FORMAL CHECK OF PROPOSALS**

The JCS will check all proposals to ensure that they meet the call's formal criteria:

- Date of submission
- Submission through the electronic submission system;
- Composition of consortium;
- Inclusion of all necessary information in English;
- Appropriate limits on duration of project.

In parallel, the JCS will forward the proposals to the corresponding national funding organisations which will perform a check for compliance to national rules. Proposals passing both checks (JCS and national) will be forwarded to the Peer Review Panel<sup>3</sup> (PRP) members for evaluation. Proposals not meeting the formal criteria will be declined without further review.

#### **PEER-REVIEW OF PROPOSALS**

There are two steps in this reviewing procedure:

- **Remote evaluation:** each proposal will be allocated to three reviewers who fit the profile of the application. The first step of the peer review procedure will be a written evaluation. All reviewers will be asked for reports and scoring on the

---

<sup>3</sup> Peer Review Panel: international reviewers that will review the applications according to their expertise.

proposals according to specific evaluation criteria and a scoring system (see the evaluation procedure below).

- **Peer review panel meeting:** The PRP members will have access to all the remote evaluations before the PRP meeting. At the PRP meeting reviewers should identify the proposals recommended for funding and not recommended for funding. Proposals recommended for funding will be ranked by the PRP according to the evaluation criteria.

The names of the reviewers in the PRP will be made public after the decision on funding has been made. They will perform the evaluation according to confidentiality rules and specific evaluation criteria (see below), using a common evaluation form. A scoring system from 1 to 5 will be used to evaluate the proposal's performance with respect to the different evaluation criteria. Scoring system: 1: poor; 2: fair; 3: good; 4: very good; 5: excellent. Any proposal receiving an average rating less than *very good* (i.e. less than 4) across all reviewers, for one or more of the criteria, will not be eligible for funding.

Evaluation criteria:

- **Relevance and clarity of objectives** in relation to the aims of the call
- **Scientific quality of the proposal** (scientific excellence of the proposal, in terms of innovative approach, originality and advancement, availability and quality of preliminary data, international competitiveness)
- **Quality and diversity of the project consortium** (international competitiveness of participants in the field(s), previous work and expertise of the participants, added value of the transnational collaboration, capacity building, commitment to equality and diversity of workforce)
- **Feasibility of project plan** (relation of work packages to proposal themes and aims, quality of work plan and time schedule, balanced participation of project partners, quality and efficiency of the coordination and management, scientific justification and adequateness of the requested budget and risk assessment)
- **Potential impact** (response to actual societal needs, providing evidence for policy makers and practitioners; early integration of relevant stakeholders, ensuring societal relevance over the course of the project and its dissemination).

#### **FINAL DECISION ON FUNDING**

Based on the ranking list established by the PRP, the FG will select the projects to be funded (shortlist). Based on this list, final decisions will be made by national funding organisations and will be subject to budgetary considerations. The JCS will communicate to all applicants the final decision, and the evaluation report in due time.

**PROJECT START AND CONSORTIUM AGREEMENT**

Projects selected for funding are expected to start in approximately May/June 2017. Consortium members must fix a common project start date, which would be the reference date for yearly and final monitoring reports and potential extensions. This common project start date must appear in the Consortium Agreement (CA).

It will be the responsibility of the PIs to draw up a CA suitable to their own group in order to manage the delivery of the project activities, finances, intellectual property rights (IPR) and to avoid disputes which might be detrimental to the completion of the project.

All consortium members must sign the CA and send it to the FG. This consortium agreement will be made available to the concerned funding organisations. The project consortium is strongly encouraged to sign this CA before the official project start date, and in any case the CA has to be signed no later than three months after the official project start date. Please note that national regulations may apply concerning the requirement for a CA (contact your national contact point or check the country-specific information on the guidelines). Further instructions will be provided by the FG to the coordinators of the projects selected for funding.

**CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE PROPOSALS**

Proposals and any information relating to them shall be kept confidential within the reviewers and the FG. Proposals shall not be used for any purpose other than the evaluation and subsequent monitoring of the funded projects.

Full proposals will be required to include a publishable summary, which will clearly identify the main goals of the project. If a proposal is funded, this information will be published on the ERANID website. All other project details shall be kept strictly confidential, although national rules prevail.

**REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND DISSEMINATION**

Each PI, on behalf of the project consortium, should submit to the funding agency a brief annual and final scientific report of the transnational project no later than two months after the end of the project. These reports should state the scientific progress, the goals that have been met, and corrective measures set in case that the annual project plan has not been fulfilled. When applicable, each team might have to submit additional

reporting to its relevant funding organisation, in accordance with the respective national regulations.

In case of any significant changes in the work program or the consortium composition, the PI must inform the funding agency, who will decide upon the proper action to be taken.

Funding recipients must ensure that all results (publications, etc.) of their research project's consortium activities include an acknowledgement that the projects were supported in part by the respective funding organisations under the framework of the ERANID initiative. Moreover, PIs and/or co-PIs may be asked to present the results of their projects, at an intermediate and/or a final status seminar, during ERANID events.

## ANNEX I - SUMMARY OF THE PARTICIPATING FUNDING ORGANISATIONS AND INDICATIVE FUNDING COMMITMENTS

<b>PARTICIPATING FUNDING ORGANISATION</b>	<b>COUNTRY</b>	<b>INITIAL TOTAL FUNDING COMMITMENT (Euros)</b>	<b>FUNDING PER PROJECT (Euros)</b>
<b>Federal Public Planning Service Science Policy (BELSPO)</b>	Belgium	€500,000	Maximum of €250,000 per Belgian partner per proposal (two to possibly three proposals can be funded)
<b>Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Vlaanderen (FWO)</b>	Belgium	€200,000	Maximum available budget per partner is €200,000
<b>Mission Interministérielle de Lutte contre les Drogues et les Conduites addictives - MILDECA</b>	France	€300,000	Max. €150,000 per French partner per proposal (2 to 3 proposals can be funded)
<b>Dipartimento per la Politiche antidroga, Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri</b>	Italy	€500,000	Maximum of €100,000 per Italian partner per proposal (five proposals could possibly be funded)

<b>Serviço de Intervenção nos Comportamentos Aditivos e nas Dependências (SICAD)</b>	Portugal	€200,000	€50,000 per Portuguese partner per proposal
<b>The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw)</b>	The Netherlands	€700,000	Max. €175,000 per Dutch partner per proposal
<b>The Scottish Government (SG)</b>	The United Kingdom, Scotland	£25,000	Please contact the national contact point for details
<b>The Department of Health (DH)</b>	The United Kingdom, England	£250,000	We are looking to fund 1-2 proposals

## ANNEX 2 - NATIONAL ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

### Belgium

<b>Funding Organisation</b>	Federal Public Planning Service Science Policy (BELSPO)
<b>Initial funding commitment</b>	€500,000
<b>National Contact Point for the 1<sup>st</sup> call</b>	Aziz Naji – Programma manager, BELSPO Aziz.naji@belspo.be
<b>Eligible institutions</b>	Not for profit institutions with the pursuit of scientific research stated in the official statutes of the organisations (e.g. university, high school, public research centre...)  Access the full list of not-for profit research centres recognised by the federal government on: <a href="http://www.belspo.be/belspo/fisc/wi_list_fr.stm">http://www.belspo.be/belspo/fisc/wi_list_fr.stm</a>

<b>Additional eligibility criteria</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An Eligible Belgian promoter in a given proposal can be a physical person or the appointed leader of a group of researchers from the <u>same</u> organisation;</li> <li>• Belgian promoters from different Belgian eligible research institutions can enter a given transnational network of applicants;</li> <li>• Requested budget per Belgian participation in a proposal (as a Belgian promoter or as a Belgian Network) shall not exceed €250,000;</li> <li>• Rules concerning eligible costs (see below) are respected;</li> <li>• Belgian applicants must contact BELSPO before entering a consortium/ submitting a proposal.</li> </ul>
<b>Eligible costs</b>	BELSPO adopts a full cost model comprising: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Personnel costs (preferably around 60% of total requested budget)</li> <li>- Operation costs (lump sum of 10% of personnel costs)</li> <li>- Equipment costs</li> <li>- Subcontracting costs (not to exceed 25% of total costs for Belgian partners and only for non-core activities to the project (e.g. specific scientific expertise, non-scientific tasks such as organising meetings...))</li> <li>- Overheads (max. 5% of Personnel and Operation costs)</li> </ul>

<b>Funding Organisation</b>	Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Vlaanderen (FWO)
<b>Initial funding commitment</b>	€200,000
<b>National Contact Point for the 1<sup>st</sup> call</b>	Olivier Boehme Senior Science Administrator Tel. +32 2 550 15 45  Toon Monbaliu Advisor Research Affairs Tel. +32 2 550 15 70

	<p>E-mail: <a href="mailto:eranet@fwo.be">eranet@fwo.be</a></p> <p>Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek - Vlaanderen (FWO) Egmontstraat 5 1000 Brussel</p>
<b>Eligible institutions</b>	<p>Art. 9 of the FWO-regulation on the regular research projects is applicable. In this article is stated who can apply as a Principal Investigator for a research project:</p> <p>§1. The supervisor who is also the responsible spokesperson towards the FWO shall have a remunerated appointment of at least 10% at the relevant host institution, and additionally meet one of the following conditions:</p> <p>1° an Independent Academic Staff member with an appointment of at least 10% at a Flemish university;</p> <p>2° an Independent Academic Staff member of at least 10% with an appointment at the Evangelical Protestant Faculty in Leuven and the Faculty for Protestant Theology in Brussels, for research related to religious studies or theology;</p> <p>3° a research director of FWO;</p> <p>4° a designated beneficiary of an ERC Grant with a Flemish university or the Evangelical Protestant Faculty in Leuven, the Faculty for Protestant Theology in Brussels, the Hogere Zeevaartschool, the Vlerick Management School, the Antwerp Management School or the Institute of Tropical Medicine as host institution;</p> <p>5° a designated beneficiary of an Odysseus II grant with a Flemish university as host institution;</p> <p>6° an (associated) professor or (full) professor of at least 10% affiliated to the Hogere Zeevaartschool and holder of a PhD, if the application is related to research in nautical sciences;</p> <p>7° an (associated) professor or (full) professor of at least 10% (or appointed in a position with an equivalent English title) at the Vlerick</p>

	<p>Management School and holder of a PhD, if the application is related to research in management sciences;</p> <p>8° an (associated) professor or (full) professor of at least 10% affiliated to the Antwerp Management School and holder of a PhD, if the application is related to research in management sciences;</p> <p>9° an (associated) professor or (full) professor of at least 10% at the Institute of Tropical Medicine and holder of a PhD;</p> <p>10° an Independent Academic Staff member with a remunerated appointment of 5% at a Flemish university and with an appointment as (assistant) clinical head or an equal function in a university hospital;</p> <p>§2. All co-supervisors have to be researchers of at least postdoctoral level. Co-supervisors have a remunerated appointment at a host institution that can act as a main host institution as referred to in art. 3 or at an academic programme of a Flemish School of Arts, or at another Flemish research institution or at a federal scientific institution, where the co-supervisor belongs to the Dutch-speaking community.</p> <p>§3. If the project involves several institutions that can act as main host institution as referred to in art. 3, at least the supervisor or one co-supervisor of each of these institutions shall meet the requirements set out in §1 of this article, and moreover hold an appointment that fully covers the duration of the research project applied for.</p> <p>§ 4. If the requirements set out in §1 are not met at the time of the application, the applicant needs to prove that this will be the case at the start of the agreement.</p>
<b>Additional eligibility criteria</b>	See above
<b>Eligible costs</b>	Funding money can be used for staff, consumables and infrastructure. The minimal and maximal amounts of money allowed per cost category, as applicable for the regular FWO-projects, are not applicable for the projects funded by FWO in ERA-NET. Moreover,

	<p>FWO pays the host institutions of a project 6% overhead on top of the funding amount.</p> <p>Funding cannot be used for training activities, apart from the opportunity for a researcher appointed within the project to obtain a PhD on the basis of the results from his/her project research.</p>
--	---

## France

<b>Funding Organisation</b>	<b>Mission Interministérielle de Lutte contre les Drogues et les Conduites addictives - MILDECA, France</b>
<b>Initial funding commitment</b>	€300,000 is the max that can be allocated over the period 2016/2017/2018 to French research groups selected in this call
<b>National Contact Point for the 1<sup>st</sup> call</b>	Florence Lafay-Dufour, Research Advisor MILDECA <a href="mailto:Florence.lafay-dufour@pm.gouv.fr">Florence.lafay-dufour@pm.gouv.fr</a>
<b>Eligible institutions</b>	Non for profit institutions with the pursuit of scientific research stated in the official statutes of the organisations (e.g. university, high school, public research centre...)
<b>Additional eligibility criteria</b>	Requested budget per French participation in a proposal shall not exceed €150,000
<b>Eligible costs</b>	For further details, please contact national French contact point.

## Italy

<b>Funding Organisation</b>	Dipartimento per la Politiche antidroga, Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri, ITALY
<b>Initial funding commitment</b>	€500,000
<b>National Contact Point for the 1<sup>st</sup> call</b>	Patrizia De Rose <a href="mailto:eranidnetworkdpa@governo.it">eranidnetworkdpa@governo.it</a>
<b>Eligible institutions</b>	Institutions with the pursuit of scientific research stated in the official statutes of the organisations (e.g. public university and private recognised universities, high school, public and private research centre...) also in partnership with enterprises; NGOs, Central and local administrations.
<b>Additional eligibility criteria</b>	Requested budget per Italian participation in a proposal shall not exceed €100,000
<b>Eligible costs</b>	For further details, please contact national Italian contact point.

## Portugal

<b>Funding Organisation</b>	Serviço de Intervenção nos Comportamentos Aditivos e nas Dependências (SICAD)
<b>Initial funding commitment</b>	€200,000
<b>National Contact Point for the 1<sup>st</sup> call</b>	Manuel Cardoso – Deputy General-Director, SICAD <a href="mailto:manuel.cardoso@sicad.min-saude.pt">(manuel.cardoso@sicad.min-saude.pt)</a>

	Deputy: Mário Castro – Director of the Monitoring and Information Department, SICAD  <a href="mailto:mario.castro@sicad.min-saude.pt">(<a href="mailto:mario.castro@sicad.min-saude.pt">mario.castro@sicad.min-saude.pt</a>)</a>
<b>Eligible institutions</b>	Universities and associated labs, high schools, research centres, NGO's and non-profit research institutions.
<b>Additional eligibility criteria</b>	Maximum per national project: €50,000
<b>Eligible costs</b>	Personnel costs, operation costs, equipment costs, travel costs, consultants and subcontracting costs, copyright costs.

### The Netherlands

<b>Funding Organisation</b>	The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw)
<b>Initial funding commitment</b>	€700,000
<b>National Contact Point for the 1<sup>st</sup> call</b>	Simone Korff de Gidts, Co-ordinator  <a href="mailto:eranid@zonmw.nl">eranid@zonmw.nl</a>
<b>Eligible institutions</b>	Public law or private law entities with registered offices in the Netherlands can apply (article 7 of General Terms and Conditions)
<b>Additional eligibility criteria</b>	The General term and Conditions Governing Grants of ZonMw will be applicable.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>requested budget per Dutch participation in a proposal shall not exceed €175,000</li> </ul> Link to <a href="#">General Terms and Conditions Governing Grants of ZonMw</a>
<b>Eligible costs</b>	ZonMw adopts a full cost model comprising : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Personnel costs</li> <li>Material costs</li> <li>Equipment costs</li> <li>Implementation costs</li> <li>Other costs</li> </ul>

### The United Kingdom (Scotland)

<b>Funding Organisation</b>	The Scottish Government (SG)
<b>Initial funding commitment</b>	£25,000
<b>National Contact Point for the 1<sup>st</sup> call</b>	Fiona Fraser <a href="mailto:Fiona.Fraser@gov.scot">Fiona.Fraser@gov.scot</a>
<b>Eligible institutions</b>	For further details, please contact the national contact point.
<b>Additional eligibility criteria</b>	For further details, please contact the national contact point.
<b>Eligible costs</b>	For further details, please contact the national contact point.

### The United Kingdom (England)

<b>Funding Organisation</b>	The Department of Health, England (DH)
<b>Initial funding commitment</b>	£250,000

<b>National Contact Point for the 1<sup>st</sup> call</b>	<p>For all financial and contractual questions go to the website in the section below in the first instance. If your query cannot be answered please contact:</p> <p><a href="mailto:Farheen.Shafiq@prp-ccf.org.uk">Farheen.Shafiq@prp-ccf.org.uk</a></p> <p>Natalie Owen – Research Programme Manager, DH</p> <p><a href="mailto:natalie.owen@dh.gsi.gov.uk">natalie.owen@dh.gsi.gov.uk</a></p>
<b>Eligible institutions</b>	<p>All institutions/organisations within the United Kingdom are eligible to apply for PRP funding. However, applicants must be able to justify how they will inform English policy-making.</p>
<b>Additional eligibility criteria</b>	<p>The DH Policy Research Programme would like to focus all funding on applications which relate to the second question contained in the call:</p> <p>2) Importance of the social environment in pathways to recovery and socioeconomic reintegration.</p> <p>A separate information document will be prepared detailing the financial and contractual regulations for UK applicants. This will be available at the following address:</p> <p><a href="http://prp-ccf.org.uk/">http://prp-ccf.org.uk/</a></p> <p>We are looking to fund of 1-2 proposals.</p>
<b>Eligible costs</b>	<p><b>Higher Education Institutions (HEIs)</b></p> <p>Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) should determine the Full Economic Cost (FEC) of their research using the Transparent Approach to Costing (TRAC) methodology. For HEIs, <u>up to 100%</u> of FEC will be paid, provided that TRAC methodology has been used.</p> <p><b>NHS bodies and other providers of NHS services in England</b></p> <p>For applications where the contractor is an NHS body or provider of NHS services in England, up to 100% of direct costs will be paid. Where an NHS body or provider of NHS services is collaborating with another type of organisation, the leader must be the NHS partner which will be the contracting organisation.</p> <p><b>Commercial Organisations</b></p>

If you are a commercial organisation/consultancy, please fill in direct costs and commercial indirect costs. Indirect costs should be charged in proportion to the amount of staff effort requested on the funding application form. Up to 100% of costs will be paid.

**Other Partner Organisations**

If you are another partner organisation (e.g. charity or NGO), please fill in direct costs and other partner organisations indirect costs. Indirect costs should be charged in proportion to the amount of research staff effort requested on the funding application form. Up to 100% of costs will be paid.

**Abbreviations**

- AC: Advisory Committee
- FG: Funding Group
- JCS: Joint Call Secretariat
- JTC: Joint Transnational Call
- MG: Management Group
- MoU: Memorandum of Understanding
- NSC: Network Steering Committee
- PRP: Peer Review Panel
- SRA: Strategic Research Agenda

